

# Bird Conservation International

<http://journals.cambridge.org/BCI>

Additional services for ***Bird Conservation International***:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



---

## Identifying anthropogenic threats to Cape Vultures *Gyps coprotheres* using community perceptions in communal farmland, Eastern Cape Province, South Africa

MORGAN B. PFEIFFER, JAN A. VENTER and COLLEEN T. DOWNS

Bird Conservation International / Volume 25 / Issue 03 / September 2015, pp 353 - 365

DOI: 10.1017/S0959270914000148, Published online: 22 August 2014

**Link to this article:** [http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract\\_S0959270914000148](http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0959270914000148)

### How to cite this article:

MORGAN B. PFEIFFER, JAN A. VENTER and COLLEEN T. DOWNS (2015). Identifying anthropogenic threats to Cape Vultures *Gyps coprotheres* using community perceptions in communal farmland, Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. Bird Conservation International, 25, pp 353-365 doi:10.1017/S0959270914000148

**Request Permissions :** [Click here](#)

# Identifying anthropogenic threats to Cape Vultures *Gyps coprotheres* using community perceptions in communal farmland, Eastern Cape Province, South Africa

MORGAN B. PFEIFFER, JAN A. VENTER and COLLEEN T. DOWNS

## Summary

Declines in Old World vulture populations have been linked to anthropogenic pressures. To assess these threats, the social dimensions of vulture conservation must be explored. Prior research in Africa focused on commercial farmers' perceptions of vultures and identified that small stock farmers used poison more than large stock farmers to deter livestock predators. However, the vulnerable Cape Vulture *Gyps coprotheres* breeds throughout communal farmland in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. Consequently, community interviews were conducted within the foraging range of the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony, separating regions according to the amount of transformed land. Residents in the least transformed land region perceived the smallest reductions in livestock ownership over the past ten years, while residents of the moderately transformed region perceived the greatest reductions in livestock ownership. Livestock carcasses were reported to be available for vultures at 'informal vulture restaurants'. Arrangement of livestock carcasses was found to be independent of land use; however type of carcass consumed varied. None of the respondents stated they used poison to eliminate livestock predators. More respondents cited illegal poaching of vultures for traditional medicine as a threat, although the majority stated that vultures benefited the community.

## Introduction

Human activities have transformed the landscape, displaced species and caused mass extinctions (Alroy 2001, McKee *et al.* 2004), and are one of the most influential factors affecting biodiversity conservation (Jenkins *et al.* 2013). It is important to understand how species persist in human-altered landscapes, to aid in the conservation and management of threatened species (Norris and Harper 2004, Jost Robinson *et al.* 2011). Vultures have interacted with humans for centuries (Mundy *et al.* 1992, Moleón *et al.* 2014). They provide a valuable ecosystem service by consuming carcasses which prevents the spread of disease, recycles nutrients, and provides a waste removal option that is both cost effective and low on carbon emissions (Dupont *et al.* 2012, Ganz *et al.* 2012, Margalida and Colomer 2012, Ogada *et al.* 2012a,b).

Globally, 61% of vulture species are threatened with extinction and are declining mainly due to anthropogenic pressures (Ogada *et al.* 2012a). Asia and Africa have experienced the most dramatic vulture declines in recent years (Pain *et al.* 2008, Virani *et al.* 2011, Ogada *et al.* 2012a). Vulture declines in Asia were linked to diclofenac, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), which is highly toxic to vultures when present in carrion (Oaks *et al.* 2004, Gilbert *et al.* 2006). Declines of African vulture populations are less understood because of the diversity of threats identified (Thiollay 2006, Virani *et al.* 2011, Ogada *et al.* 2012a, Monadjem *et al.* 2013a).

It is vital to understand threats to vultures in terms of land use and local human livelihoods. Previous research in Africa focused on the human dimensions of vulture conservation in commercial farming and protected areas (Boshoff and Currie 1981, Robertson and Boshoff 1986, Brown and Piper 1988, Monadjem and Garcelon 2005, Murn and Anderson 2008, Bamford *et al.* 2009). Relatively few studies have addressed the human dimension in communally owned farmland, despite its prevalence in Africa (Boshoff and Vernon 1980, Vernon 1998, Bamford *et al.* 2007, Virani *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, communal farmland in South Africa is expected to undergo rapid development in terms of electrification, urbanization, and continued human population growth (DEDEAT 2012, Sheehan and Sanderson 2012).

The eastern part (east of 27°E) of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa includes the communal area formerly known as the Transkei (Boshoff *et al.* 2009). This area was one of the 10 Bantustan homelands created under segregation laws of the former apartheid government of South Africa (Kepe 1997). The dominant livelihood of the amaXhosa people, the ethnic majority, is a combination of subsistence agriculture, local employment, remittances from industrial sectors, and government grants (Kepe 1997, Shackleton *et al.* 2013).

The Cape Vulture *Gyps coprotheres* is endemic to southern Africa and is listed as 'Vulnerable' by the IUCN and in the South African Red Data Book (Anderson 2000, BirdLife International 2012). The global population is about 8,000–10,000 individuals and the regional population of Cape Vultures in the Eastern Cape Province is estimated at 2,000 individuals (Boshoff *et al.* 2009, BirdLife International 2013). It is the most common vulture in the study area, with only the Bearded *Gypaetus barbatus* and Egyptian *Neophron percnopterus* Vultures overlapping rarely (Mundy *et al.* 1992). The majority of active Cape Vulture sites in the Eastern Cape Province are within or near (< 50 km) communal farmland on inaccessible cliffs in river gorges (Piper 2005, Boshoff *et al.* 2009).

Carion is more readily available in communal farming areas where livestock losses are higher than commercial farming areas (Mundy *et al.* 1992, Vernon 1998, Boshoff *et al.* 2009). Furthermore, carcasses contaminated with poison to eliminate livestock predators are scarcer on communal farmland than in commercial farming areas (Brown and Piper 1988, Boshoff *et al.* 2009). It is possible that poison may be too expensive for communal farmers to afford, but other social and cultural factors may influence this practice. However, how communal farmers in the former Transkei manage livestock predators is unknown (Piper and Ruddle 1986).

Illegal poaching of vultures for traditional medicine is thought to be relatively high because of strong cultural traditions and limited access to Western medicine in the former Transkei (Cunningham and Zondi 1991b, Mander *et al.* 2007). Consuming vulture parts, specifically the head/brains, is thought to give the user clairvoyant powers (Cunningham and Zondi 1991b, Mundy *et al.* 1992, Mander *et al.* 2007). The sale of these parts is thought to fluctuate with major sporting events such as the World Cup (Mander *et al.* 2007). Previous studies interviewed traditional healers and vulture part consumers, but little is known of how African people perceive vultures (Beilis and Esterhuizen 2005, Mander *et al.* 2007).

Land use in the former Transkei was relatively unchanged until the elections of 1994, when social grants were provided by the government and less need was placed on subsistence agriculture (Shackleton *et al.* 2013). Since the 1990s, fields have been abandoned and the population has moved toward crowded towns (Vernon 1998, Shackleton *et al.* 2013). Despite land uses changing relatively rapidly in the former Transkei, little is known on how vulture populations have been effected (Vernon 1998, DEDEAT 2012).

Thus the aim of this study was to determine how communal land communities within the foraging range of the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony perceive vultures and the threats to them. Residents of highly transformed areas may not be as closely associated with the residents of low or moderately transformed areas. We expected that vultures in the former Transkei would have access to abundant livestock carcasses because of high livestock mortality, carcasses would be relatively safe from limited use of poison as predator control, and use of vulture parts in traditional medicine would be high because of strong cultural traditions (Brown and Piper 1988, Cunningham and Zondi 1991b, Vernon 1998). Participants in the interviews were identified using two approaches: 1) Attending community

events ( $n = 104$ ) and 2) random door-to-door interviews near active Cape Vulture roosts ( $n = 98$ ) (Fig. 1). In general, residents of these rural communities are more comfortable interacting in groups than individually (pers. obs.). Effort was made to engage community members at tribal and municipal meetings, church services, and after-school programmes. Since residents near active roosts are location specific, interviews were done opportunistically in those locations with individuals.

## Methods

### Study Area

The Msikaba Cape Vulture colony ( $31^{\circ}16'S$ ,  $29^{\circ}59'E$ ; 200 m asl) is one of the largest colonies in the former Transkei, and is located in Mkambati Nature Reserve (MNR; Boshoff and Minnie 2011). It is the closest vulture colony to the ocean (2 km) in the world (Mundy *et al.* 1992). MNR is a provincial reserve managed by the Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency (ECPTA) in collaboration with the Mkambati Land Trust (Fig. 1). The majority of the Cape Vulture nests are located on south-west facing cliffs of the Msikaba River gorge inside MNR. During the Cape Vulture breeding season (May–October), a breeding adult vulture's daily foraging range was calculated as 40–150 km from the colony (Ruxton and Houston 2002, Boshoff and Minnie 2011). Consequently interviews were conducted within this range, which covers an area of 11,310 km<sup>2</sup>.

The 15 villages surveyed were categorised into three areas: least transformed, moderately transformed, and most transformed (Vernon 1998, Beinart 2009). All but one of the villages (KwaMbimba) were part of the Ngquza Hill municipality. KwaMbimba is part of the Ntabankulu municipality (Fig. 1). According to the 2011 census, the population in the Ngquza Hill municipality was 278,481 and




Figure 1. Locations of the 15 communities in which interviews were conducted in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. All interview locations were within the foraging range of the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony, which is situated on the southern border of the Mkambati Nature Reserve (MNR).

92% of households were located on tribal land (Statistics South Africa 2011a,b). The population of the Ntabankulu municipality was 123,976 and 95% of households were located on tribal land (Statistics South Africa 2011a,b). The Ngquza Hill and Ntabankulu municipalities have unemployment rates of 52% and 51% respectively, which ranks them as the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> (out of 234) municipalities with the highest unemployed populations in South Africa (Statistics South Africa 2011d).

Each region (least transformed, moderately transformed, and most transformed) differed in land cover. Connecting all interview locations with a Minimum Convex Polygon (MCP), there were differences in the amount of natural land cover. The heavily transformed area contained the least natural land (38%). Natural land covered 63% of the communities in the moderately transformed MCP and 81% in the least transformed area. Interestingly, the least transformed area had the smallest percentage of cultivated, degraded and plantation land cover compared to the other two regions, although this was not significant (Fig.1).

### *Questionnaire survey*

A questionnaire covering livestock ownership, carcass management, and perceptions of Cape Vultures was drafted based on Fink (2009); this consisted of mainly open-ended questions (Appendix S1 in the online supplementary material). An estimate of food availability in terms of available carcasses was ascertained by livestock ownership trends in combination with livestock carcass management. Safety of the Cape Vulture's food source was assessed by the extent of poisoned carcasses reported by participants. Perceived trends in the local vulture population were determined by comparing numbers of Cape Vultures observed over a 10-year period.

All interviews were carried out with the participation of the respondents. The survey had University of KwaZulu-Natal (UKZN) ethical clearance, which complies with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008 (Protocol number HSS/0947/012M). The local Traditional Authority gave their permission to conduct the research before entering the communities. Interviews were conducted from June 2012 to January 2013. The three interviewers were isiXhosa speaking undergraduate students from the School of Life Sciences, UKZN. Each interview was conducted in isiXhosa and recorded in English. Photographs of the Cape Vulture were used to aid the respondent's identification of the species. The word for Cape Vulture is different between villages (*idlanga* or *ixhalanga*); effort was made to use the correct colloquial word.

### *Statistical analyses*

Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) tests were used to determine differences in residents' responses in relation to land use within the vulture's foraging range. It was expected that there would be significant differences ( $P$ -values < 0.05) in the frequency of participants' responses across the natural land cover scale. Residents of least transformed areas were expected to answer differently from residents in more developed areas. Areas with more natural land cover may create a buffer against anthropogenic pressures facing foraging vultures. All statistics were performed in Statistica (StatSoft 2006).

## **Results**

### *Demographics of respondents*

A total of 202 qualitative interviews were conducted with community members within the foraging range of the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony (Table 1). Respondents varied in age with 25 (12%) 14–20 years old, 89 (44%) 21–40 years old, 67 (33%) 41–60 years old and only 21 (10%) older than 60 years. Average number of dependents per household was  $5.2 \pm 0.33$  (SD) people. A total of 110 (54%) respondents were unemployed or earned a living through subsistence farming. The remaining 92 (46%) were employed in other sectors or were studying.

Table 1. Demographics of respondents on livestock management and perceptions of Cape Vultures near the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony in the Eastern Cape Province.

	Percent
<b>Gender</b>	
Male	54
Female	46
<b>Marital status</b>	
Single	53
Married	46
<b>Age Profile</b>	
14-20 years	12
21-40 years	44
41-60 years	33
> 60 years	10
<b>Number of Dependents</b>	
0-1	55
2-5	45
6-10	68
>10	21
<b>Occupation</b>	
Unemployed/subsistence farming	54
Employed and/or studying	45

### *Livestock ownership trends in relation to Cape Vulture numbers*

A total of 123 (65%) participants perceived that local livestock ownership had decreased in the past ten years. Perceptions were dependent on land use ( $\chi^2_8 = 22.27$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ). Respondents of the moderately transformed communities perceived the greatest reductions in local livestock ownership over the past 10 years while residents of the least transformed communities perceived the smallest reductions in livestock ownership over the same period (Fig. 2).

A similar trend was witnessed with observations of Cape Vultures (Fig. 2). Residents of the moderately transformed areas perceived the greatest reductions in the local Cape Vulture population. In contrast, the least transformed areas perceived the least reductions, but this was not significant ( $\chi^2_8 = 10.37$ ,  $P = 0.24$ ). In general, the majority of respondents (74%,  $n = 136$ ) observed that local vulture populations were stable or increasing.

High livestock mortality rates because of tick-borne diseases (gall sickness and red water) were considered the main reason for declines in ownership by 62 (31%) respondents. Changes in




Figure 2. Perceptions of local livestock ownership and vulture population trends by community members in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa.

livelihoods and traditions were reasons for a decline in livestock ownership by 42 (21 %) respondents. Respondents stated that 'youth are not interested in livestock'. Use in business transactions and food security were considered the greatest benefits of owning livestock.

### *Safety of carcasses for vultures*

A total of 114 (56 %) respondents stated they had livestock killed by predators, namely black-backed jackal *Canis mesomelas*. However, none of the respondents indicated that they used poisoned carcasses to kill predators. Instead, respondents would rather 'hunt the predator with dogs' and 'fence livestock at night'.

### *Management of deceased livestock*

A total of 105 (52 %) respondents had livestock 'naturally/accidentally' die in the last five years. Arrangement of livestock carcasses was found to be random throughout different land uses, as there was no association with dead livestock and extent of transformed land cover ( $\chi^2_5 = 1.04$ ,  $P = 0.96$ ).

Of cattle that died from natural causes, 80 (40 %) respondents perceived that the carcass was made available to Cape Vultures by 'throwing it away'. Nineteen (9 %) respondents stated that cattle carcasses were specifically left for Cape Vultures. If a horse or a donkey died, 98 (49 %) respondents perceived that the carcass was made available to vultures. 26 (13 %) respondents stated that horse and donkey carcasses were specifically left for Cape Vultures. Extent of transformed land had no effect on availability of horse or donkey carcasses ( $\chi^2_5 = 1.98$ ,  $P = 0.85$ ) or cattle carcasses ( $\chi^2_5 = 4.46$ ,  $P = 0.48$ ). Throughout all the villages, management of livestock carcasses was found to be a community decision rather than the individual farmer's (pers. obs.).

When questioned about which animals consume livestock carcasses, 166 (82 %) respondents mentioned Cape Vultures. One hundred and seventeen (58 %) respondents observed vultures feeding on horses, while only 71 (35 %) respondents observed vultures feeding on cattle. There was an association between respondents who observed Cape Vultures feeding on cattle or horses carcasses and extent of transformed land ( $\chi^2_5 = 12.61$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ). More cattle carcasses were reported consumed by Cape Vultures in the least transformed areas (Fig. 3). Residents of the heavily transformed land observed the smallest number of cattle carcasses consumed by Cape Vultures. The opposite trend was found with horse carcasses in relation to extent of transformed land cover.




Figure 3. Type of livestock carcasses consumed by Cape Vultures as reported by community members of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa.



### *Community perceptions of the Cape Vulture and its threats*

One hundred and twenty-nine (64%) respondents were afraid of Cape Vultures because of their aggressive nature while feeding. Sixty-six percent of respondents ( $n = 134$ ) did not know or chose not to answer the targeted questions about threats to vultures. Only 15 (7%) respondents cited poisoning as the cause of a vulture's death or acknowledged a poisoning incident (observation of a dead dog next to a dead horse). Vulture mortalities from electrocution and collision with power lines were cited by  $< 1\%$  ( $n = 2$ ).

The most cited cause for a vulture's death was illegal poaching for traditional medicine by 62 (31%) respondents. Shooting of vultures was considered the preferred method by 74%, followed by setting traps and using dogs by 3%. None of the respondents mentioned poisoning as a method of obtaining vultures for traditional medicine. Some respondents stated that vultures were difficult to catch. Young boys were found to illegally kill vultures with rocks and slingshots. It was unclear if children were killing vultures for profit. Acknowledgment of illegal poaching of vultures was not found to be dependent on extent of transformed land ( $\chi^2_5 = 5.46$ ,  $P = 0.36$ ).

Despite this pressure, 135 (67%) respondents acknowledged that vultures benefit the local community. Respondents called the vultures their 'free municipality' that are 'good for pointing out dead livestock and tourism'. Positive community perceptions of vultures were not found to be associated with extent of transformed land ( $\chi^2_5 = 3.38$ ,  $P = 0.64$ ). Although negative views were held by the minority, these respondents stated that vultures 'prevent nutrients from entering the soil, kill livestock, there is no use for them as dogs clean up, and that they are just birds.' Forty-one (20%) respondents thought of nothing when they saw a vulture (Fig. 4).

## **Discussion**

### *Livestock ownership trends and vulture observations*

Our results suggest that livestock ownership in the former Transkei is perceived to have decreased over the past 10 years, coinciding with the conclusions of other studies (Vernon 1998, Shackleton *et al.* 2013). However, this decrease is not thought to be uniform across the landscape (Ainslie 2002, Ntshona and Turner 2002, Hajdu 2009, Vetter and Bond 2012). The current study found that the landscape with the least transformed land cover observed the smallest reductions in livestock ownership over the past 10 years. Since domestic livestock is considered the main food source for Cape Vultures in the former Transkei (Boshoff and Vernon 1980, Vernon 1998), availability of livestock may influence their populations.




Figure 4. First impressions of Cape Vultures perceived by community members of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa.



Residents in the moderately transformed area perceived the greatest reductions in livestock ownership. Although land use differed between the heavily and moderately transformed areas, the moderately transformed area had the highest human density of 18.5 homesteads/km<sup>2</sup>, as calculated from 2006 aerial photographs. This area may be a remnant of one of the 'betterment' programs in which families were forced into planned developments (Shackleton *et al.* 2013). The presence of the Holy Cross Mission church, one of the largest in the former Transkei, located in this area may have accelerated these programmes (pers. obs.). In these areas, livelihoods have changed from subsistence agriculture to social grants and wage labour which may have decreased the amount of carrion available, in addition to an anthropogenic buffer for foraging vultures (Vernon 1998, Hajdu 2009, Shackleton *et al.* 2013).

### *Safety of carcasses for vultures*

The importance of non-contaminated carcasses for vulture survival has been highlighted in several studies (Chaudhry *et al.* 2012, Prakash *et al.* 2012, Monadjem *et al.* 2013b, Margalida *et al.* 2014). In the current study, it appears that poisoned carcasses are not a common practice in managing predators or obtaining vultures for traditional medicine. Effects of poison on vulture populations can be devastating because they often die in large groups in Africa (Brown and Piper 1988, Mundy *et al.* 1992, Ogada *et al.* 2012a, Beaver 2013) and Europe (Margalida 2012). Although 15 respondents acknowledged seeing a poisoning incident, this was lower than the 36 (34%) commercial farmers in the Drakensberg area of South Africa who used poison (Brown and Piper 1988).

However, due to stricter laws regarding the use of poison in addition to a reduction in small stock farming in the Drakensberg, only 14 (6%) commercial farmers near Lesotho admitted to using poison in a recent study (Hiltunen 2009). The commercial farmers who admitted to using poison responded via a postal survey, a method known to reveal few truthful answers about illegal activities (Hiltunen 2009). Although the exact number of commercial or communal farmers who use poison is difficult to obtain, it is possible that poisoned carcasses are less common in communal land than commercial farming areas.

### *Management of dead livestock*

Tick-borne diseases (gall sickness and red water) were considered the main causes for livestock mortality in the study area. These diseases have caused livestock mortality in the former Transkei for a number of years (Villiers and Costello 2006, Beinart 2009). Although traditional methods exist to treat some of these diseases (Cunningham and Zondi 1991a) most subsistence farmers rely on government supplied services, which have been slack in recent years (Kepe 2002, Beinart 2009, Shackleton *et al.* 2013).

A proportion of livestock that died naturally was perceived to be made available to Cape Vultures. The amount of cattle carcass available out of 9,000 regionally owned cattle (Ainslie 2002) would be 168,480 kg a year in the study area, which can support 337 breeding Cape Vulture pairs, each consuming 500 kg (Mundy *et al.* 1992). This is higher than the previous estimate of 81,000 kg a year for all types of carrion which can support 162 Cape Vulture breeding pairs (Vernon 1998, Ainslie 2002). Although the Msikaba Cape Vulture colony currently only supports 175 breeding pairs, factoring in the neighbouring colonies of Tembukazi (120 pairs) and Ngozi (72), which would overlap with Msikaba's foraging range, the number of breeding pairs adds up to over 350 (Botha *et al.* 2012).

As most Xhosa communities share meat resources (Ainslie 2002), management of livestock carcasses was found to be a community decision (pers. obs.). Horse meat is not traditionally eaten in South Africa (Katz 2003). Hence horse carcasses were 'thrown away' more than cattle for vultures to feed upon. A common practice with dead livestock was to move it away from homesteads to an open field, or in other terms, an 'informal vulture restaurant' (pers. obs.). In the Ngqwuz

Hill municipality, the majority of residents (74.5%) have their own refuse dump or no rubbish disposal at all (18.4%), which suggests that discarded meat is available to vultures and other scavengers (Statistics South Africa 2011c). Despite the presence of 'informal vulture restaurants', communal livestock carcasses can be considered unpredictable, as there were no trends associated with dead livestock and land use.

Observations of livestock carcasses consumed by Cape Vultures differed among land uses. The least transformed area is traditional communal grazing land used since pre-colonial time by the AmaPondo people (Beinart 2009). Residents from other villages herd their cattle to the least transformed area when conditions are harsh (Beinart 2009). Cattle density is likely higher in the least transformed area because of the extent of communal grazing land. Horses may be more plentiful in transformed areas (for use in organised horse races) and are perhaps hit and killed by cars more frequently, hence more horse carcasses were observed in the heavily transformed area (pers. obs.).

### *Community perceptions of the Cape Vulture and its threats*

In the current study, the majority of respondents (67%) stated that vultures benefited the local community. Vultures were called a 'free municipality' by some respondents, suggesting a beneficial relationship between the communities and the vultures. Negative views of vultures were in the minority, but probably originated from ignorance or fear rather than hatred. This is illustrated by the number of respondents who stated they think of 'nothing' or are 'fearful' when they see a vulture. In contrast, 29 (28%) South African commercial farmers who had negative views of vultures considered the birds to be harmful to their farming operations (Brown and Piper 1988). The majority of respondents in both commercial and communal land perceived that Cape Vulture populations were stable or increasing (Brown and Piper 1988).

Perceived threats to Cape Vultures differed from the previous study (Brown and Piper 1988) in which the majority of commercial farmers cited poisoning, while illegal poaching of vultures was cited more by residents in communal land. Consuming vulture brains is believed to give the user clairvoyant powers in addition to relief from headaches and allergies (Cunningham and Zondi 1991b, Mundy *et al.* 1992, Beilis and Esterhuizen 2005, Mander *et al.* 2007). The total annual sale of vulture parts for traditional medicine in eastern South Africa was estimated at \$115,512 (Mander *et al.* 2007).

It is difficult to obtain numbers of illegally killed vultures, but these were estimated at 27 vultures (all species) a year for KwaZulu-Natal, Eastern Cape, and Lesotho (Mander *et al.* 2007). In the current study, the preferred method of obtaining vultures for traditional medicine was the use of firearms, which was much higher than the 41% of vultures harvested by shooting and 35% by poisoning reported by Mander *et al.* (2007). In the current study, none of the respondents mentioned that poison was used to obtain vultures for traditional medicine. As the past study focused on traditional healers and vulture part consumers, the results from this study give a general picture of how African people perceive vultures.

Two participants who resided near a small vulture roost stated they had eaten vulture meat, which has previously only been documented in West Africa with the consumption of Hooded Vultures *Necrosyrtes monachus* (Gbogbo and Awotwe-Pratt 2008). One participant stated that people targeting vultures for traditional medicine were from the neighbouring province, KwaZulu-Natal. The participant mentioned that the 'foreigners' were unsuccessful due to the inaccessible location of the vultures on the cliffs.

The current study confirms that the threats facing African vulture species are diverse. Threats encountered by the Cape Vulture differ between regions in South Africa in terms of land use (communal vs. commercial farming) and ethnic group (Caucasian vs. AmaXhosa farmers). It is important to acknowledge the differences in threats across the landscape in order to develop and build upon management plans for the Cape Vulture. Although the threats are diverse, the underlying themes are transformed landscapes and direct anthropogenic pressures. It will only be through the collaboration of different stakeholders that species will survive.

### Management implications

Areas with more natural land cover may create an anthropogenic buffer and carrion for foraging Cape Vultures in the former Transkei. Effort should be made to conserve natural areas and confine development to already transformed regions. Management of livestock carcasses on communal land was found to be a community decision, so educating community leaders about vulture-safe carcasses and the benefits provided by vultures to the community would be an effective conservation measure. The study suggests that illegal poaching may be more prevalent than previously estimated. Education programmes conducted in less transformed regions would be beneficial, as residents of these areas may see vultures more frequently. It is possible to expand on the communities' existing appreciation of vultures and encourage community involvement in the conservation of the Cape Vulture.

### Supplementary Material

The supplementary material for this article can be found at [journals.cambridge.org/bci](http://journals.cambridge.org/bci)

### Acknowledgements

L. Mboyi, W. Nkayitshana, T. Mkhize, and G. Mclean are thanked for conducting interviews. V. Maypia and N. Soshukuma of the Mkambati Nature Reserve are thanked for field assistance. Many thanks to Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency (ECPTA) for accommodation while conducting interviews and Mazda Wildlife Fund for vehicle assistance. UKZN and ECPTA are also thanked for financial assistance.

### References

- Ainslie, A. ed. (2002) Cattle ownership and production in the communal areas of the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Cape Town: Programme for Land and Agrarian Studies, School of Government, University of the Western Cape.
- Alroy, J. (2001) A multispecies overkill simulation of the end-Pleistocene megafaunal mass extinction. *Science* 292: 1893–1896.
- Anderson, M. D. (2000) Cape vulture. In: K. N. Barnes, ed. *The Eskom Red Data Book of birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Johannesburg: BirdLife South Africa.
- Bamford, A. J., Diekmann, M., Monadjem, A. and Mendelsohn, J. (2007) Ranging behaviour of Cape vultures *Gyps coprotheres* from an endangered population in Namibia. *Bird Conserv. Internatn.* 17: 331–339.
- Bamford, A. J., Monadjem, A., Anderson, M. D., Anthony, A., Borello, W. D., Bridgeford, M., Bridgeford, P., Hancock, P., Howells, B., Wakelin, J. and Hardy, I. C. W. (2009) Trade-offs between specificity and regional generality in habitat association models: a case study of two species of African vulture. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 46: 852–860.
- Beaver, T. (2013) Rare vultures poisoned on farm. Pietermaritzburg: The Witness.
- Beilis, N. and Esterhuizen, J. (2005) The potential impact on Cape griffon *Gyps coprotheres* populations due to the trade in traditional medicine in Maseru, Lesotho. *Vulture News* 53: 15–19.
- Beinart, W. (2009) Transhumance and ticks in Pondoland: a crisis in livestock management on the "Wild Coast". In S. Guyot and J. Dellier, eds. *Rethinking the Wild Coast, South Africa. Eco-frontiers vs livelihoods in Pondoland*. University of Limoges, France: CNRS GEOLAB.
- BirdLife International (2012) *Gyps coprotheres*. IUCN Red List of threatened species. Version 2012.2. Accessed from [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org) on 01 July 2013.
- BirdLife International (2013) Species factsheet: *Gyps coprotheres*. Accessed from [www.birdlife.org](http://www.birdlife.org) on 01 July 2013.
- Boshoff, A. and Minnie, J. (2011) On the role of the shape and size of foraging area, and

- colony size, in selecting critical areas for Cape griffon *Gyps coprotheres* conservation action. *Vulture News* 61: 4–15.
- Boshoff, A., Piper, S. and Michael, M. (2009) On the distribution and breeding status of the Cape griffon *Gyps coprotheres* in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *Ostrich* 80: 85–92.
- Boshoff, A. F. and Currie, M. H. (1981) Notes on the Cape vulture colony at Potberg, Bredasdorp. *Ostrich* 52: 1–8.
- Boshoff, A. F. and Vernon, C. J. (1980) The past and present distribution and status of the Cape vulture in the Cape Province. *Ostrich* 51: 230–250.
- Botha, A., Allan, D., Krueger, S., Neethling, M., Naser, W., Pfeiffer, M., Ronaldson, S., Shaw, K., Webster, K., Uys, R., Wolter, K., van Wyk, J. and Venter, J. (2012) *Cape vulture task force report 2012*. Johannesburg: Endangered Wildlife Trust.
- Brown, C. J. and Piper, S. E. (1988) Status of Cape vultures in the Natal Drakensberg and their cliff site selection. *Ostrich* 59: 126–136.
- Chaudhry, M. J. I., Ogada, D. L., Malik, R. N., Virani, M. Z. and Giovanni, M. D. (2012) First evidence that populations of the critically endangered Long-billed vulture *Gyps indicus* in Pakistan have increased following the ban of the toxic veterinary drug diclofenac in south Asia. *Bird Conserv. Internatn* 22: 389–397.
- Cunningham, A. B. and Zondi, A. S. (1991a) *Cattle owners and traditional medicines used for livestock*. Pietermaritzburg: Institute of Natural Resources.
- Cunningham, A. B. and Zondi, A. S. (1991b) *Use of animal parts for the commercial trade in traditional medicines*. Pietermaritzburg: Institute of Natural Resources.
- DEDEAT (2012) *Draft spatial and environmental management guidelines for the Wild Coast*. Department of Economic Development Environmental Affairs and Tourism.
- Dupont, H., Mihoub, J.-B., Bobbé, S. and Sarrazin, F. (2012) Modelling carcass disposal practices: implications for the management of an ecological service provided by vultures. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 49: 404–411.
- Fink, A. (2009) *How to conduct surveys : a step-by-step guide*. New York City: SAGE.
- Ganz, H. H., Karaoz, U., Getz, W. M., Versfeld, W. and Brodie, E. L. (2012) Diversity and structure of soil bacterial communities associated with vultures in an African savanna. *Ecosphere* 3: 1–18.
- Gbogbo, F. and Awotwe-Pratt, V. P. (2008) Waste management and Hooded vultures on the Legon campus of the University of Ghana in Accra, Ghana, West Africa. *Vulture News* 58: 16–22.
- Gilbert, M., Watson, R. T., Virani, M. Z., Oaks, J. L., Ahmed, S., Chaudhry, M. J. I., Arshad, M., Mahmood, S., Ali, A. and Khan, A. A. (2006) Rapid population declines and mortality clusters in three Oriental white-backed vulture *Gyps bengalensis* colonies in Pakistan due to diclofenac poisoning. *Oryx* 40: 388–399.
- Hajdu, F. (2009) Questioning homogenous degradation narratives in Transkei: Livelihoods and natural resource use in two Pondoland villages. In: S. Guyot and J. Dellier, eds. *Rethinking the Wild Coast, South Africa Eco-frontiers vs livelihoods in Pondoland*. University of Limoges, France: CNRS GEOLAB.
- Hiltunen, T. A. (2009) The current attitudes and agricultural practices of farmers in areas adjacent to Lesotho, with respect to the Bearded vulture *Gypaetus barbatus meridionalis*. MPhil thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.
- Jenkins, C. N., Pimm, S. L. and Joppa, L. N. (2013) Global patterns of terrestrial vertebrate diversity and conservation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110: E2602–E2610.
- Jost Robinson, C. A., Daspit, L. L. and Remis, M. J. (2011) Multi-faceted approaches to understanding changes in wildlife and livelihoods in a protected area: a conservation case study from the Central African Republic. *Environ. Conserv.* 38: 247–255.
- Katz, S. H. (2003) Horse. In W. Woys Weaver, ed. *Encyclopedia of food and culture*. New York: Scribner.
- Kepe, T. (1997) Communities, entitlements and nature reserves: The case of the Wild Coast, South Africa. *IDS Bulletin* 28: 47–58.
- Kepe, T. (2002) The dynamics of cattle production and government intervention in communal areas of Lusikisiki district. In: A. Ainslie, ed. *Cattle ownership and production*

- in the communal areas of the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Bellville: Programme for Land and Agrarian Studies, School of Government, University of the Western Cape.
- Mander, M., Diedericks, N., Ntuli, L., Mavundla, K., Williams, V. and McKean, S. (2007) *Survey of the trade in vultures for the traditional health industry in South Africa*. Everton: FutureWorks.
- Margalida, A. (2012) Bait, budget cuts: a deadly mix. *Science* 338: 192.
- Margalida, A. and Colomer, M. A. (2012) Modelling the effects of sanitary policies on European vulture conservation. *Scientific Reports* 2: 753.
- Margalida, A., Colomer, M. À. and Oro, D. (2014) Man-induced activities modify demographic parameters in a long-lived species: effects of poisoning and health policies. *Ecol. Appl.* 24: 436–444.
- McKee, J. K., Sciulli, P. W., Foose, C. D. and Waite, T. A. (2004) Forecasting global biodiversity threats associated with human population growth. *Biol. Conserv.* 115: 161–164.
- Moleón, M., Sánchez-Zapata, J. A., Margalida, A., Carrete, M., Owen-Smith, N. and Donazar, J. A. (2014) Humans and scavengers: The evolution of interactions and ecosystem services. *BioScience*
- Monadjem, A. and Garcelon, D. K. (2005) Nesting distribution of vultures in relation to land use in Swaziland. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 14: 2079–2093.
- Monadjem, A., Botha, A. and Murn, C. (2013a) Survival of the African white-backed vulture *Gyps africanus* in north-eastern South Africa. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 51: 87–93.
- Monadjem, A., Wolter, K., Neser, W. and Kane, A. (2013b) Effect of rehabilitation on survival rates of endangered Cape vultures. *Anim. Conserv.* 17: 1–9.
- Mundy, P., Butchart, D., Ledger, J. and Piper, S. (1992) *The vultures of Africa*. Randburg: Acorn Books.
- Murn, C. and Anderson, M. D. (2008) Activity patterns of African white-backed vultures *Gyps africanus* in relation to different land-use practices and food availability. *Ostrich* 79: 191–198.
- Norris, K. and Harper, N. (2004) Extinction processes in hot spots of avian biodiversity and the targeting of pre-emptive conservation action. *Proc. Roy. Soc B-Biol. Sci.* 271: 123–130.
- Ntshona, Z. and Turner, S. (2002) The social and economic structure of livestock production systems in Maluti district. In: A. Ainslie, ed. *Cattle ownership and production in the communal areas of the Eastern Cape, South Africa*. Cape Town: Programme for Land and Agrarian Studies, School of Government, University of the Western Cape
- Oaks, J. L., Gilbert, M., Virani, M. Z., Watson, R. T., Meteyer, C. U., Rideout, B. A., Shivaprasad, H. L., Ahmed, S., Chaudhry, M. J. I., Arshad, M., Mahmood, S., Ali, A. and Khan, A. A. (2004) Diclofenac residues as the cause of vulture population decline in Pakistan. *Nature* 427: 630–633.
- Ogada, D. L., Keesing, F. and Virani, M. Z. (2012a) Dropping dead: causes and consequences of vulture population declines worldwide. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1249: 57–71.
- Ogada, D. L., Torchin, M. E., Kinnaird, M. F. and Ezenwa, V. O. (2012b) Effects of vulture declines on facultative scavengers and potential implications for mammalian disease transmission. *Conserv. Biol.* 26: 453–460.
- Pain, D. J., Bowden, C. G. R., Cunningham, A. A., Cuthbert, R., Das, D., Gilbert, M., Jakati, R. D., Jhala, Y., Khan, A. A., Naidoo, V., Oaks, J. L., Parry-Jones, J., Prakash, V., Rahman, A., Ranade, S. P., Baral, H. S., Senach, K. R., Saravanan, S., Shah, N., Swan, G., Swarup, D., Taggart, M. A., Watson, R. T., Virani, M. Z., Wolter, K. and Green, R. E. (2008) The race to prevent the extinction of South Asian vultures. *Bird Conserv. Internatn.* 18: S30–S48.
- Piper, S. E. (2005) Cape vulture. In: P. A. R. Hockey, W. R. J. Dean and P. G. Ryan, eds. *Roberts Birds of Southern Africa*. Cape Town: John Voelcker Bird Book Fund
- Piper, S. E. and Ruddle, P. (1986) An initial evaluation of the Cape vulture colonies at Mkambati, Transkei. *Vulture News* 15: 7–12.
- Prakash, V., Bishwakarma, M. C., Chaudhary, A., Cuthbert, R., Dave, R., Kulkarni, M., Kumar, S., Paudel, K., Ranade, S., Shringarpure, R. and Green, R. E. (2012) The population decline of *Gyps* vultures in

- India and Nepal has slowed since veterinary use of Diclofenac was banned. *PLoS One* 7: e49118.
- Robertson, A. S. and Boshoff, A. F. (1986) The feeding ecology of Cape vultures *Gyps coprotheres* in a stock-farming area. *Biol. Conserv.* 35: 63–86.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. (2002) Modelling the energy budget of a colonial bird of prey, the Ruppell's griffon vulture, and consequences for its breeding ecology. *Afr. J. Ecol.* 40: 260–266.
- Shackleton, R., Shackleton, C., Shackleton, S. and Gambiza, J. (2013) Deagrarianisation and forest revegetation in a biodiversity hotspot on the Wild Coast, South Africa. *PLoS One* 8: 1–12.
- Sheehan, D. K. and Sanderson, F. J. (2012) Seeing the bigger picture: how anthropogenic landscape modification in Africa affects declining migratory birds and the need for trans-continental research and conservation. *Ibis* 154: 659–662.
- Statistics South Africa (2011a) Households by geo type per municipality. Pretoria.
- Statistics South Africa (2011b) Population per municipality. Pretoria.
- Statistics South Africa (2011c) Refuse Disposal. Pretoria.
- Statistics South Africa (2011d) Unemployment rate per municipality (age 15 to 64). Pretoria.
- StatSoft (2006) STATISTICA (data analysis software system). Version 7.1. [www.statsoft.com](http://www.statsoft.com)
- Thiollay, J. M. (2006) The decline of raptors in West Africa: long-term assessment and the role of protected areas. *Ibis* 148: 240–254.
- Vernon, C. (1998) The Cape vulture at Colleywobblies: 1977–1997. *Ostrich* 70: 200–202.
- Vetter, S. and Bond, W. J. (2012) Changing predictors of spatial and temporal variability in stocking rates in a severely degraded communal rangeland. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 23: 190–199.
- Villiers, D. D. and Costello, J. (2006) *Mkambati and the Wild Coast*. Singapore: Tien Wah Press.
- Virani, M. Z., Kendall, C., Njoroge, P. and Thomsett, S. (2011) Major declines in the abundance of vultures and other scavenging raptors in and around the Masai Mara ecosystem, Kenya. *Biol. Conserv.* 144: 746–752.

MORGAN B. PFEIFFER<sup>1</sup>, JAN A. VENTER<sup>2,3</sup>, COLLEEN T. DOWNS<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X01, Scottsville, Pietermaritzburg, 3209, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Wildlife Management, University of Pretoria, Hatfield, Pretoria, South Africa.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biodiversity Conservation, Eastern Cape Parks and Tourism Agency, East London, South Africa.

\* Author for correspondence; email: [downs@ukzn.ac.za](mailto:downs@ukzn.ac.za)

Received 30 August 2013; revision accepted 7 June 2014;  
Published online 22 August 2014